

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

THIRTY-NINTH DAY

Wednesday, 10th April 1963.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA, B.A., B.L.) in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given.)

Relaxation of rule 9 (xi) of the new Grant-in-aid Code in respect of two Oriental High Schools of South Kanara.

*Q.—36. Sri M. SRINIVASA NAYAK (Mangalore-I).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether rule 9 (xi) of the new Grant-in-aid Code for Secondary Schools in Mysore State applies to the Oriental High Schools also ;

(b) in view of the fact that according to the Old Rules, the minimum strength stipulated for the several standards in these schools was 10, will not the rule stipulating an average attendance of 15 adversely affect these schools which have been getting recognition in the past ;

(c) whether they intend to pass special orders fixing a lower strength in these standards as in the past so that these schools are not forced to close down ;

(d) whether the Director of Public Instruction received representations from two Oriental High Schools of South Kanara District praying for a relaxation of the rule 9 (xi) of the New Grant-in-aid Code, and if so, what action has been taken on these representations ?

(2673)

A.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) No.

(d) Yes. The shortage of average attendance has been condoned.

Sri M. SRINIVASA NAYAK.—There are no supplementaries since the Government have settled the matter.

Allotment of Houses by the Housing Board.

*Q.—376. **Sri B. NANJAPPA** (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that houses have been allotted by the Housing Board to persons already owning houses either in their own names or in the names of the members of their family ;

(b) if so, whether action would be taken for the cancellation of such allotment ?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance) [on behalf of Sri D. DEVARAJ URS (Minister for Labour, Housing and Transport)].—

(a) No

(b) Does not arise in view of reply to (a).

Sri B. NANJAPPA.—Is it not a fact that there are some cases where houses have been allotted to the persons who are owning houses ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There were two cases in all under the low-income group and the middle-income group, but both the orders have been cancelled.

Sri B. NANJAPPA.—Is it not a fact that in Victoria Layout and Cambridge Road Lay-out houses have been allotted to persons who are owning houses either in their names or in the names of their family members ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—As I have already replied, there were only two cases which were found to be against the rules and they were cancelled. According to the latest information, there are no other cases.

Sri B. NANJAPPA.—Will you please verify with regard to the Victoria Lay-out and Cambridge Road Lay-out ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I will verify, but I can assure the Hon'ble Member that there are no cases.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ದೇವಯ್ಯ.—ಎ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಾಗಾರ ರೋಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಒಡೆದು ಹಾಕಿದ ಪಾಲಕರಿಗಾಗಿ ಹಾಸಿಂಗ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ನವರು ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—How does it come under this question ? It is a question of allotment and not delivery ? Does the Hon'ble Member say